

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/729,953	12/09/2003	Ch	nung-Min Chang	MR1891-187	2745	
4586 7590 01/12/2006			006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		RG, KLEIN & LEI			REDMAN, JERRY E		
	3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,				3634		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

s t, 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/729,953	CHANG, CHUNG-MIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerry Redman	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation approach for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 £	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 December 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,5-7,11 and 14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2-4,8-10,12,13 and 15 is/are objected.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/729,953

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Angelini et al. (5,222,403). Angelini et al. (403) disclose a track (18) having an slotted opening (42), a drive assembly (44), driven by a motor (15) having a drive axle gear (24), a power transferring member (26 and 28) connected to the drive assembly (44), a U-shaped member (45 and/or 46) movably engaged with the track (18), and a link member (30) pivotally connected (31) to the U-shaped member (45 and/or 46) at one end and adapted to be connected (32) to a garage door (12). Note: It should be noted that the applicant's claims fails to positively distinguish between "upward" and "underside of the track" and that both terms are relative since the applicant has not defined them relative to each other or another defining special direction.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angelini et al. (5,222,403) in view of Willmott et al. (4,794,731). All of the elements of the instant invention are discussed above except providing the drive axle gear

connected to a motor driving shaft. Willmott et al. ('731) discloses a drive axle shaft (56) connected to a gear (47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Angelini et al. ('403) with a shaft and gear arrangement as taught by Willmott et al. ('731) since a gear having a hole and a drive shaft from the motor extending therethrough is a well known way of transferring an output force from the motor and simplified by not having a lot of reduction gears as well as a less expensive method of operating.

Claims 2-4, 8-10, 12, 13, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. WO patent No. 93/09324 to Mayer et al. disclose a door drive system similar to that of the applicant's invention. Great Britain patent No. 2 086 983A to Hormann discloses a door drive system similar to that of the applicant's invention.

U.S. patent to Tsubaki et al. disclose a motor and door drive system for a garage door similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Beausoleil discloses a motor and door drive system for a garage door similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Hormann et al. disclose a door drive system similar to that of the applicant's

Application/Control Number: 10/729,953

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

invention, U.S. patent to Gatland et al. disclose elements similar to that of the applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 571-272-6835.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner